IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Civil Division

EQUAL RIGHTS CENTER

820 First St. NE, Suite LL160, Washington, D.C. 20002

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.

ADAMS INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC

2627 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 250, Washington D.C. 20008-1545

ADAMS-CATHEDRAL, LLC

2627 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 250, Washington, D.C. 20008-1545

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND MONETARY DAMAGES

Housing Choice Vouchers ("Vouchers") are critically important government subsidies that enable low-income renters to offset their rent with a subsidy. Vouchers often reduce racial segregation and enable renters to secure housing outside of areas of ethnically concentrated poverty and in areas that may offer greater access to jobs and better resourced schools. This is the case in the District of Columbia. In the midst of an ongoing affordable housing crisis in D.C., Vouchers play an important role in expanding housing choice and ensuring low-income renters can afford safe and decent housing, so long as housing providers are willing to accept them. In D.C., it is illegal for landlords and brokers to reject rental applicants for using a Voucher, but discrimination against Voucher holders is rampant.

The Equal Rights Center ("ERC") brings this action against Adams Investment Group, LLC and Adams-Cathedral, LLC ("Defendants") to challenge Defendants' unlawful refusal to accept Vouchers at its D.C. property, The Adams View Apartments ("Adams View").

Defendants' conduct constitutes unlawful housing discrimination in violation of the D.C. Human Rights Act ("DCHRA"), and the D.C. Consumer Protection Procedures Act ("DCCPPA").

NATURE OF THIS ACTION

- 1. This is a civil rights action under the DCHRA, D.C. Code §§ 2-1401.01, et seq., as well as related claims under the DCCPPA, D.C. Code §§ 28-3901 et seq., for declaratory, injunctive, and monetary relief.
- 2. Defendants, the owner and manager of Adams View in the Northwest District of Columbia ("D.C." or "the District"), have engaged in unlawful source of income discrimination in violation of the DCHRA by refusing to lease Adams View rental units to prospective tenants who seek to use Vouchers as a source of payment for all or a portion of their monthly rent. Defendants' conduct also constitutes unlawful race discrimination in violation of the DCHRA. By excluding Voucher holders from access to rental units, Defendants disproportionately adversely affect prospective Black renters because the vast majority of D.C. Voucher holders are Black. By violating the DCHRA in the context of a consumer transaction, Defendants further violated the DCCPPA. *District of Columbia v. Evolve, LLC*, 2020 D.C. Super. LEXIS 6, *12 (D.C. Super. Ct. Feb. 25, 2020).
- 3. Defendants have implemented a policy or practice of refusing to rent units at Adams View to Voucher holders.

- 4. Defendants' agents and/or employees told ERC's fair housing tester and others that Vouchers are not accepted at Adams View.
- 5. Vouchers are a protected source of income under the DCHRA. D.C. Code § 2-1401.02(29). It is unlawful to discriminate based on source of income, including when that source of income is a Voucher. D.C. Code §§ 2-1402.21(a), (e). Defendants' policy or practice of refusing to accept Vouchers facially violates the DCHRA.
- 6. Defendants' policy or practice of refusing Vouchers also has an adverse and disparate impact based on race because, in the District, Black renters are significantly more likely to use a Voucher to pay all or a portion of their rent than white renters.
- 7. Under the DCHRA, race is a protected class. D.C. Code § 2-1401.01. The DCHRA prohibits outwardly neutral policies or practices that have an adverse and disparate impact based on race. D.C. Code § 2-1402.68. By refusing to accept Vouchers, Defendants have engaged in illegal discrimination on the basis of race in violation of the DCHRA.
- 8. Under the DCCPPA, it is a violation of law "for any person to engage in an unfair or deceptive trade practice[.]" D.C. Code § 28-3904. Trade practices arising in the context of landlord-tenant relations are subject to the law and may be vindicated by both consumers, on behalf of themselves, and non-profit organizations, on behalf of themselves and the general public. D.C. Code §§ 28-3905(k)(1)(A) (C), (6). D.C. courts have held that a violation of another D.C. law, including the DCHRA, in the consumer context, constitutes a violation of the DCCPPA. *See, e.g., Dist. Cablevision Ltd. P'shp v. Bassin*, 828 A.2d 714, 723 (D.C. 2003) ("Trade practices that violate other laws, including the common law, also fall within the purview of the CPPA.") By refusing to accept Vouchers in violation of the DCHRA, Defendants engaged in an unfair trade practice in violation of the DCCPPA.

- 9. Defendants' discrimination has harmed, and continues to harm, the ERC because it frustrated the ERC's mission to end discrimination in the District and led the ERC to redirect significant resources away from its day-to-day activities to address this discrimination. The ERC has committed, is committing, and will continue to commit, scarce resources to counteract the effects of Defendants' discrimination against prospective tenants, and to prevent the recurrence of discrimination against Voucher holders in the future. These resources, by necessity, are diverted away from the ERC's regular activities, further injuring the ERC.
- 10. Accordingly, the ERC brings this action to vindicate its civil rights, and the civil rights of those it represents, under the DCHRA, to vindicate consumer protection rights under the DCCPPA, and to obtain an injunction and damages—including statutory and treble damages under the DCCPPA—to remedy those injuries.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Equal Rights Center is a national non-profit civil rights membership corporation organized under the laws of D.C. Its principal place of business is 820 First Street NE, Suite LL160, Washington, D.C. 20002. The ERC's mission is to eliminate discrimination in housing, employment, and public accommodations based on race and other protected classes covered by federal, state, and local anti-discrimination laws, including the FHA and DCHRA. The ERC is the only private fair housing organization dedicated to serving the entire greater Washington, D.C. region. It is committed to assisting individuals in the area who believe they have experienced housing discrimination or who need assistance with preparing and/or submitting requests for reasonable accommodations and modifications. The ERC's various programs and activities provide guidance and information on civil rights to the community, as

well as assistance to members of classes protected under federal, state, and local laws who face discrimination.

- 12. **Defendant Adams Investment Group, LLC** is a privately held D.C. limited liability company, with its principal place of business at 2627 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 250, Washington D.C. 20008-1545. Adams Investment Group, LLC advertises its diverse portfolio of luxury residential and commercial properties, including Adams View, which is featured prominently on its website as one of the six properties within its portfolio. Upon information and belief, Adams Investment Group, LLC manages Adams View.
- 13. **Defendant Adams-Cathedral, LLC** is a privately held D.C. limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2627 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 250, Washington D.C. 20008-1545. Adams-Cathedral, LLC is the owner of Adams View. The beneficial owners of Adams-Cathedral LLC are Martin Segal and John Holmes.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to D.C. Code § 11-921.
- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to D.C. Code § 13-423 because Defendants transact business and manage real property in the District of Columbia. The discriminatory conduct at issue in this litigation arises out of these business activities.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- A. Overview of the Housing Choice Voucher Program in Washington, D.C.
- 16. The Housing Choice Voucher Program (the "Voucher Program"), a successor to the Section 8 Rental Voucher or Rental Certificate Program, is a federally funded housing subsidy program designed to allow low-income families to obtain safe, decent, and affordable housing. Currently assisting more than two-million American families, including over 16,299

households in the District, the Voucher Program is the largest rental-assistance program administered by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). In D.C., the designated agency administering the Voucher Program is the District of Columbia Housing Authority ("DCHA").

- 17. Vouchers are tenant-based subsidies that are not linked to any particular housing complex, building, or unit, but rather enable families with a Voucher to rent housing in the private market, at market rates, provided the rent does not exceed the Voucher Program's payment standards (i.e., limits on the monthly rent that are set by DCHA) and a percentage of the Voucher holder's income. The Voucher Program thus removes some of the barriers that would otherwise restrict low-income families from the opportunity to obtain rental housing outside of areas of concentrated poverty, allowing families to move to neighborhoods with rich access to public transportation, grocery stores, green spaces, well-performing schools, and cultural enrichment. Obtaining a Voucher can provide a homeless or low-income resident of D.C. with a direct path to housing and enable integration in mixed-income neighborhoods. The success of the Voucher program depends in large part on the ability of renters to obtain housing in integrated neighborhoods, as well as participation of landlords on the private housing market.
- 18. Vouchers are important in high-cost jurisdictions like D.C. where rent burdens on low-income families are particularly severe. Vouchers are especially important in Northwest D.C., where Adams View is located, because they afford a meaningful chance for low-income residents of color to live in neighborhoods that are more diverse, provide access to better resourced schools, additional employment opportunities, and increased safety—all of which can impact a resident's economic and educational outcomes in the long-term.

- mainly clustered in various racially- and ethnically-concentrated neighborhoods that tend to be high poverty areas. As DCHA has stated, the largest concentration of Voucher holders in the District is found in Wards 8 and 7. Although a primary goal of the Program is to expand housing choice to low-income families by enabling Voucher holders to obtain rental housing throughout the District and outside of racially- and ethnically-concentrated areas of poverty, the significantly small ratio of Voucher holders who reside in Northwest Wards 1, 2, and 3—Wards that tend to be majority white or have low numbers of Black residents—indicate that the Program is being thwarted by improper landlord actions.
- 20. Adams View is in the Cleveland Park neighborhood in the Northwest Ward 3 of D.C., where white residents constitute the majority of residents. Indeed, in the census tract where Adams View is located, white residents represent 76% of the population, whereas Black residents represent only 5% of the population in the neighborhood.² By contrast, Black residents represent approximately 46% of the District's overall population.³
- 21. Vouchers are also time-limited and can generally only be used for a short period after they are issued. Applicants for Vouchers are placed on years-long waiting lists but only

¹ District of Columbia Housing Authority 2019 Oversight and Performance Hearing, District of Columbia Council. Committee On Housing And Neighborhood Revitalization, Responses To Pre-Hearing Questions, 32-33 (Mar. 2019) https://dccouncil.us/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/dcha.pdf

² 2020 Census Information & Data, District of Columbia Office of Planning (August 13, 2021), Map 2 and Table 9, https://planning.dc.gov/publication/2020-census-information-and-data.

³ United States Census Bureau, District of Columbia QuickFacts (July 1, 2021), https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/DC

have 120 days to find an apartment once they finally receive a Voucher unless they can obtain an extension on their Voucher expiration date.

- 22. As a result of widespread Voucher discrimination, Voucher holders must frequently accept subpar housing in segregated neighborhoods, or risk losing their Voucher altogether.
- 23. The DCHRA requires that rental properties be made available to prospective tenants, irrespective of their source of income, and expressly provides that Vouchers, by statutory definition, are a protected source of income. D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(1) and D.C. Code § 2-1401.02(29). The DCHRA also prohibits statements with respect to actual or proposed transactions in real property that indicate a preference, limitation, or discrimination based on source of income. *Id.* at § 2-1402.21(a)(5).
- 24. In addition, District laws require that rental properties be made available to prospective tenants without regard to race and prohibit policies and practices that have a disproportionate adverse impact on the basis of race. *Id.* at § 2-1402.68.

B. Defendants' Rental Operations

- 25. Defendants own, operate, control, supervise, and/or manage, either directly or indirectly through parent-subsidiary or other business affiliations, Adams View, which consists of residential apartments located at 3201 Wisconsin Ave, NW, Washington, D.C. 20016 in the Cleveland Park neighborhood.
- 26. As the owner and operator of residential real estate, Defendants are required to comply with anti-discrimination laws, including the DCHRA, as well as the DCCPPA.
- 27. Adams View offers studio, one-bedroom, and two-bedroom apartments for rent in the District.

28. Adams View has elevators, laundry facilities and dishwashers in each unit, central air conditioning and heating. Adams View is located within two-tenths of a mile or less of a grocery store, bank, pharmacy, and other necessities.

D. ERC Mission, Discovery of Defendants' Discriminatory Policies, and Testing

- 29. The ERC's mission is to identify and eliminate discrimination in the Washington, D.C. metro area, including the District. Specifically, it is dedicated to promoting equal opportunity in the provision of housing, employment, and public accommodations. In connection with its multi-disciplinary Fair Housing Program dedicated to advancing equal housing opportunities in the District, the ERC conducts and participates in programs to educate both consumers and the real estate industry about their rights and obligations under federal, state, and local fair housing laws. In addition, the ERC has grants from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") to conduct fair housing related education and outreach. The ERC often conducts these education and outreach trainings at DCHA briefings for Voucher holders.
- 30. On December 9, 2020, the ERC was contacted via email by a DCHA employee, who reported that she and a Voucher holding client had both been told by Adams View employees that Vouchers would not be accepted at the property. The ERC proceeded to conduct an investigation in which it used a fair housing tester to ascertain whether Defendants were engaging in unlawful discrimination against Voucher holders attempting to rent units at Adams View.
- 31. On February 12, 2021, the ERC conducted a test consisting of a fair housing tester contacting Adams View inquiring about the availability of housing and acceptance of Vouchers.

- 32. The representatives who answered the fair housing tester's phone call responded to the tester and presented himself as acting on behalf of Adams View and its owners. In response to inquiries about the acceptance of Vouchers, Defendants' representative stated that, based upon the policy he was reviewing while on the phone with the fair housing tester, "It looks like at this property section 8 is not accepted." Later in the phone call, the fair housing tester asked, "OK so no vouchers at Adams View then?" and the Adams View representative replied, "correct."
- 33. As of the date of the February 12, 2021 test, the Adams View website indicated availability for studio, one-bedroom, and two-bedroom apartments. Multiple studio and one-bedroom units were within DCHA's payment standard for Cleveland Park.
- 34. Defendants have a policy or practice of refusing to accept Vouchers at Adams View.
- 35. Through its investigation, the ERC found that Defendants have a policy or practice of refusing to rent to Voucher holders at Adams View. This policy or practice discriminates against Voucher holders based on their source of income and violates the DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(1).
- 36. During the ERC's test, Defendants expressed their policy or practice by making statements to the ERC fair housing tester evidencing Defendants' intent to exclude and discriminate against Voucher holders based on their source of income, in violation of the DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(5).
- 37. Defendants' policy or practice of refusing to rent to Voucher holders also has a disproportionately adverse effect on prospective tenants based on race, in violation of the

DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.68, because Black renters constitute the majority of renters who qualify for Vouchers or use Vouchers to pay all or a portion of their rent.

- 38. Upon information and belief, Defendants designed, participated in, supervised, controlled, approved and/or ratified the discriminatory policy or practice described above. As a result, Defendants are liable for the unlawful conduct described herein.
- 39. By its acts, policies, and practices, Defendants refused to rent to individuals who intend to use Vouchers at Adams View. In so doing, Defendants unlawfully discriminated against renters in the District based on their source of income and their race. Defendants also committed violations of consumer protection law.
- 40. Defendants acted intentionally and willfully, and with callous and reckless disregard for the statutorily-protected rights of renters who intend to use Vouchers as a source of income to help pay rent.

E. Voucher Holders Are Overwhelmingly Non-White District Residents

- 41. According to DCHA, in 2021, 25,418 residents in 16,299 households participated in the Voucher Program in the District.⁴
- 42. Voucher recipients are disproportionately non-white (93% Black, 1% white, 4% Hispanic or Latino, 1% Asian, and 1% other non-white), as compared to the District's general renter population, which is comprised of a plurality of races and ethnicities.

⁴ U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Assisted Housing: National and Local, Picture of Subsidized Households, https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/assthsg.html.

- 43. Though Black individuals represent about 46% of the District's overall population,⁵ approximately 93% of Voucher recipients in the District identify as Black.
- 44. There are approximately 15,158 Black participants in the Program in the District, as compared to only approximately 162 white participants. This represents a 93 to 1 disparity in the number of Voucher holders who are Black, as compared to those who are white.
- 45. Defendants' policy or practice of refusing to rent to Voucher holders at Adams View is more likely to exclude and adversely impact Black renters than white renters and accordingly has a racially disparate impact.

HARM TO ERC AND THE COMMUNITY IT SERVES

- 46. Defendants' unlawful discrimination has harmed the ERC and the communities that it serves by (i) frustrating the ERC's mission of eliminating discrimination against members of statutorily-protected classes, and (ii) causing it to divert and redirect scarce resources to counteract Defendants' unlawful discrimination.
- 47. The ERC has made it part of its mission to eliminate source of income discrimination since at least 2003, when the ERC began receiving complaints that Voucher holders were experiencing discriminatory barriers to their ability to secure rental housing with a Voucher.
- 48. Defendants' refusal to accept Voucher holders as renters thwarted the ERC's mission to eliminate source of income discrimination.
- 49. To counteract Defendants' conduct, the ERC conducted outreach to Voucher holders by taking the following steps:

⁵ United States Census Bureau, District of Columbia QuickFacts (July 1, 2021), https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/DC

- a. ERC created a postcard that educates Voucher holders about source of income protections in the District and distributed the postcard to local voucher holders.
- b. ERC conducted education and outreach about source of income discrimination at DCHA voucher briefings on a weekly basis so that Voucher holders are aware of fair housing protections based on source of income and race and so that they are better able to identify discrimination based on source of income and race during their housing searches.
- c. Using the postcard described above, ERC published social media posts to identify other Voucher holders that may have experienced discrimination at Adams

 View so that the ERC could provide fair housing guidance and counseling about their rights.
- d. Using the postcard described above, ERC published posts on the housing for rent portion of Craigslist about source of funds protections in the District. The purpose of the posts was to educate Voucher holders about their fair housing rights on the basis of source of income and to identify Voucher holders that may have experienced discrimination at the property so that the ERC can provide them with fair housing guidance and counseling about their rights.
- 50. ERC also undertook steps to educate social service providers and government entities about the source of income discrimination it uncovered at Adams View by taking the following steps:
 - a. ERC sent an email to Housing Choice Voucher Program staff at the DCHA to educate staff about this source of income discrimination and shared the

postcard described above so that DCHA staff are better able to assist Voucher holders with identifying potential discrimination based on source of income and race.

- b. ERC sent the email referenced in paragraph 50(a) to non-profit organizations who assist individuals in the application process to obtain temporary housing subsidies.
- c. ERC sent the email referenced in paragraph 50(a) to service providers including non-profit legal organizations who assist individuals experiencing housing discrimination.
- 51. In addition, the ERC emailed its members to notify them of the source of income discrimination it uncovered and to share the postcard described above.
- 52. The efforts described above are examples of the efforts the ERC had to take to address Defendants' discriminatory practice. These efforts are beyond those ERC normally expends.
- 53. If Defendants' discriminatory conduct had not required the ERC to divert its scarce resources to investigating and counteracting the specific discriminatory practice adopted by Defendants, the ERC would have been able to use its limited resources toward other activities, including: (1) completing deliverables and reporting under the organization's HUD grants; (2) consulting with and advising staff regarding intakes, assistance to complainants, and advocacy issues; (3) development and deployment of a survey of ERC members; and (4) development and deployment of the ERC's new online General Fair Housing course.
- 54. As a result, the ERC was directly harmed and injured by Defendants' unlawful and discriminatory policies and practices.

COUNT I

Source of Income Discrimination in Violation of the D.C. Human Rights Act

- 55. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the paragraphs above.
- 56. Defendants' policy or practice of refusing Vouchers violates the DCHRA because it subjects Voucher holders to discrimination on the basis of their source of income, namely their government-subsidized Voucher. Under the DCHRA, it is an "unlawful discriminatory practice" to "refuse or fail to initiate or conduct any transaction in real property" if such a practice is "wholly or partially . . . based on the actual or perceived . . . source of income . . . of any individual[.]" D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(1).
- 57. It is also unlawful to make any "statement . . . with respect to a transaction, or proposed transaction, in real property, or financing related thereto" that indicates "any preference, limitation, or discrimination based on" the "source of income . . . of any individual." D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(5).
- 58. By definition, source of income includes federal payments for housing assistance, such as Vouchers. D.C. Code § 2-1401.02(29) (defining "source of income" to include "federal payments"); *see also* D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(e) ("The monetary assistance provided to an owner of a housing accommodation under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 . . . shall be considered a source of income under this section.").
- 59. Defendants' refusal to accept Vouchers for rental units at Adams View is unlawful discrimination based on the actual or perceived source of income of individuals, in violation of D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(1).

- 60. Defendants' statements that Vouchers are not accepted towards payment of rent at Adams View are an obvious attempt to deter Voucher holders that additionally constitute unlawful discrimination. Defendants' statements express an unlawful preference, limitation, and/or discrimination based on the actual or perceived source of income of individuals, in violation of D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(5).
- 61. Defendants' discriminatory conduct has frustrated the ERC's mission by subjecting Voucher holders to unlawful discrimination—the very conduct the ERC actively seeks to eradicate. Additionally, Defendants' actions have caused the ERC to divert time and resources from its planned activities. Accordingly, the ERC has been injured by Defendants' discriminatory conduct and has suffered damages as a result.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injuries and monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 63. Defendants' conduct was intentional, willful, and made in reckless disregard of the known rights of others.

COUNT II

Race Discrimination in Violation of the D.C. Human Rights Act

- 64. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the paragraphs above.
- 65. Under the DCHRA, it is "an unlawful discriminatory practice" to "refuse or fail to initiate or conduct any transaction in real property" if such a practice is "wholly or partially . . . based on the actual or perceived: race . . . of any individual[.]" D.C. Code § 2-1402.21(a)(1).
- 66. Under the "Effects Clause" of the DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.68, "despite the absence of any intention to discriminate, practices are unlawful if they bear disproportionately

on a protected class and are not independently justified for some nondiscriminatory reason." *Gay Rights Coal. of Georgetown Univ. Law Ctr. v. Georgetown Univ.*, 536 A.2d 1, 29 (D.C. 1987) (en banc). Thus, discriminatory intent is not required to establish liability under the DCHRA.

- 67. The testing carried out by the ERC demonstrates the existence of a policy or practice by Defendants of refusing to accept Vouchers as a source of income to cover the rent. This policy or practice is subject to challenge under the disparate impact theory.
- 68. Defendants' discriminatory actions were carried out by its employees, representatives, or agents who were acting within the scope of their authority, and, on information and belief, were ratified and/or approved by Defendants.
- 69. In the District, Black households comprise a disproportionate number of Voucher holders. Specifically, Black households comprise less than half of the total households in the District (only 46%), even though nearly all Voucher recipients are Black residents (93%). Thus, Defendants' policy or practice of refusing to rent to Voucher holders has disproportionately excluded and impacted Black households and is highly likely to continue to disproportionately exclude and impact Black households.
- 70. Defendants' discriminatory conduct has frustrated the ERC's mission by subjecting Voucher holders to unlawful discrimination—the very conduct the ERC actively seeks to eradicate. Additionally, Defendants' actions have caused the ERC to divert time and resources from its planned activities. Accordingly, the ERC has been injured by Defendants' discriminatory conduct and has suffered damages as a result.

COUNT III

Trade Practices in Violation of the D.C. Consumer Protection Procedures Act (On Behalf of the ERC and the General Public)

- 71. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth above.
- 72. The purpose of the DCCPPA is to "assure that a just mechanism exists to remedy all improper trade practices[.]" D.C. Code § 28-3901(b)(1).
- 73. Under the DCCPPA, it is a violation of law "for any person to engage in an unfair or deceptive trade practice[.]" D.C. Code § 28-3904.
- 74. "Trade practices that violate other laws . . . fall within the purview of the [DCCPPA]." *Dist. Cablevision Ltd. P'shp v. Bassin*, 828 A.2d 714, 723 (D.C. 2003). Specifically, a violation of the DCHRA in the context of a consumer transaction is a violation of the DCCPPA. *Dist. of Columbia v. Evolve, LLC*, 2020 D.C. Super. LEXIS 6, *12 (D.C. Super. Ct. Feb. 25, 2020) (granting summary judgment to the plaintiff on a DCCPPA claim upon finding that the defendant violated the provisions prohibiting source of income discrimination in the DCHRA).
- 75. Under the DCCPPA, a trade practice "means any act which does or would create, alter, repair, furnish, make available, provide information about, or, directly or indirectly, solicit or offer for or effectuate, a sale, lease or transfer, of consumer goods or services[.]" D.C. Code § 28-3901(a)(6). Trade practices arising in the context of landlord-tenant relations are subject to the law. D.C. Code § 28-3905(k)(6).
- 76. Under the DCCPPA, an action may be brought by a nonprofit organization "on behalf of itself or any of its members, or in any such behalf and on behalf of the general public." D.C. Code § 28-3905(k)(1)(C).

- 77. Plaintiff ERC brings this claim on behalf of itself and on behalf of the general public.
- 78. Under the DCCPPA, goods and services "means any and all parts of the economic output of society, at any stage or related or necessary point in the economic process, and includes consumer credit, franchises, business opportunities, real estate transactions, and consumer services of all types." D.C. Code § 28-3901(a)(7).
- 79. Defendants meet the definition of "merchant" under the DCCPPA as "a person . . organized or operating for profit . . . who in the ordinary course of business does or would . . . lease (to). . . either directly or indirectly, consumer goods or services, or a person who in the ordinary course of business does or would supply the goods or services which are or would be the subject matter of a trade practice." D.C. Code § 28-3901(a)(3).
- 80. Defendants' refusal to accept Vouchers constitutes an unfair trade practice in the context of a real estate transaction in violation of the DCCPPA.
- 81. Further, by violating the DCHRA in the context of a consumer transaction, Defendants violated the DCCPPA.
- 82. Defendants' unfair trade practice also frustrated ERC's mission of eliminating housing discrimination, discriminated against ERC members by refusing to accept Vouchers, and forced the ERC to divert its scarce resources to address Defendants' discriminatory conduct.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court:

(a) Enter judgment declaring that Defendants' acts, policies, practices, and statements of willfully refusing to rent apartment units to Voucher

- holders constitutes source of income discrimination in violation of the DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.21;
- (b) Enter judgment declaring that Defendants' acts, policies, practices and statements of willfully refusing to rent apartments to Voucher holders have an unlawful discriminatory impact based on race in violation of the DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.68;
- (c) Enter judgment declaring that Defendants' acts, policies, practices of willfully refusing to rent apartment units to Voucher holders constitutes source of income in violation of the DCHRA, D.C. Code § 2-1402.21, and is a violation of the DCCPPA § 28-3904;
- (d) Enter judgment for appropriate permanent injunctive relief, including an order that:
 - Defendants abandon their policy or practice of refusing to rent to Voucher holders and take appropriate, nondiscriminatory measures to accept Voucher holders as renters;
 - ii. Defendants take affirmative steps to educate themselves as to their legal obligations under the DCHRA and engage with DCHA or seek expert advice to understand the administrative process for accepting Vouchers in D.C.;
 - iii. Defendants provide training to their employees and agents, and adequately supervise them to prevent future illegal housing discrimination;

- iv. Defendants participate in outreach and education efforts to promote the use and acceptance of Vouchers, including but not limited to, compliance testing;
- (f) Award the ERC monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, which amount is greater than \$10,000;
- (g) Award the ERC reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- (h) Award the ERC statutory and treble damages pursuant to DCCPPA §28-3905;
- (i) Award the ERC punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial; and
- (j) Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff requests trial by jury as to all issues in this case.

Dated: April 11, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ *Matthew Handley*

Matthew K. Handley (D.C. Bar No. 489946) Martha E. Guarnieri, *pro hac vice* forthcoming Handley Farah & Anderson PLLC 777 6th Street NW, 11th Floor

Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: 202-559-2411

Email: mhandley@hfajustice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Superior Court of the District of Columbia

CIVIL DIVISION- CIVIL ACTIONS BRANCH

INFORMATION SHEET

Equal Rights Center	Case Number:	
VS	Date: Apri	1 11, 2022
Adams Investment Group, LLC et al.		e defendants is being sued fficial capacity.
Name: (Please Print) Matthew K. Handley		Relationship to Lawsuit
Firm Name:		X Attorney for Plaintiff
Handley Farah & Anderson PL Telephone No.: Six digit Unified Bar I		☐ Self (Pro Se)
	39946	Other:
TYPE OF CASE: Non-Jury Demand: greater than \$10,000	Person Jury Other	☐ 12 Person Jury :
PENDING CASE(S) RELATED TO THE ACTION	N BEING FILED	
Case No.: Judge:		Calendar #:
Case No.: Judge:		Calendar#:
NATURE OF SUIT: (Check One Box Only)		
A. CONTRACTS CO	OLLECTION CASES	S
 □ 02 Breach of Warranty □ 06 Negotiable Instrument □ 07 Personal Property □ 13 Employment Discrimination □ 15 Special Education Fees □ 28 Motion of 	\$25,000 Pltf. Grants C ce/Subrogation 5,000 Pltf. Grants Cor	34 Insurance/Subrogation onsent Under \$25,000 Consent Denied
B. PROPERTY TORTS		
☐ 01 Automobile ☐ 03 Destruct☐ 02 Conversion☐ 04 Property☐ 07 Shoplifting, D.C. Code § 27-102 (a)	tion of Private Propert Damage	y 🔲 05 Trespass
C. PERSONAL TORTS		
□ 04 Automobile- Personal Injury □ 13 Maliciou □ 05 Deceit (Misrepresentation) □ 14 Malpractice □ 15 Malpractice	d Slander us Interference us Prosecution tice Legal Medical (Including Wrongful I	□ 17 Personal Injury- (Not Automobile, Not Malpractice) □ 18Wrongful Death (Not Malpractice) □ 19 Wrongful Eviction □ 20 Friendly Suit □ 21 Asbestos □ 22 Toxic/Mass Torts □ 23 Tobacco □ 24 Lead Paint

SEE REVERSE SIDE AND CHECK HERE IF USED

Information Sheet, Continued

C. OTHERS O1 Accounting O2 Att. Before Judgment O5 Ejectment O9 Special Writ/Warrants (DC Code § 11-941) I0 Traffic Adjudication I1 Writ of Replevin I2 Enforce Mechanics Lien I6 Declaratory Judgment		e 1, Chapter 6) bility to Confirm, Modify, tion Award (DC Code nnel Act (OHR) de Regulations	e § 16-4401)
II. 03 Change of Name 06 Foreign Judgment/Domestic 08 Foreign Judgment/Internation 13 Correction of Birth Certificate 14 Correction of Marriage Certificate 26 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe 27 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe 28 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe	al Judgment [E 2-1802.03 (h 20 Master Mete 42-3301, et iture (Vehicle) iture (Currency)	nistrative Order as D.C. Code §) or 32-151 9 (a)] or (D.C. Code §	☐ 21 Petition for Subpoena [Rule 28-I (b)] ☐ 22 Release Mechanics Lien ☐ 23 Rule 27(a)(1) (Perpetuate Testimony) ☐ 24 Petition for Structured Settlement ☐ 25 Petition for Liquidation
D. REAL PROPERTY \$\infty\$ 09 Real Property-Real Estate \$\infty\$ 12 Specific Performance \$\infty\$ 04 Condemnation (Eminent Doma) \$\infty\$ 10 Mortgage Foreclosure/Judicia \$\infty\$ 11 Petition for Civil Asset Forfeit	in) 30 Liens:	Title : Tax / Water Consent Tax / Water Consent .ien Bid Off Certificat	Denied
Mrs Da	3		April 11, 2022
Attorney's Signatur	·e		Date



Superior Court of the District of Columbia CIVIL DIVISION

Civil Actions Branch

500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 879-1133 Website: www.dccourts.gov

Equal Rights Center			
	Plaintiff		
VS.			
		Case Number	
Adams Investment Group, LLC			
	Defendant		
	CHIMADACONIC		

SUMMONS

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve an Answer to the attached Complaint, either personally or through an attorney, within twenty one (21) days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you are being sued as an officer or agency of the United States Government or the District of Columbia Government, you have sixty (60) days after service of this summons to serve your Answer. A copy of the Answer must be mailed to the attorney for the plaintiff who is suing you. The attorney's name and address appear below. If plaintiff has no attorney, a copy of the Answer must be mailed to the plaintiff at the address stated on this Summons.

You are also required to file the original Answer with the Court in Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Mondays through Fridays or between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 noon on Saturdays. You may file the original Answer with the Court either before you serve a copy of the Answer on the plaintiff or within seven (7) days after you have served the plaintiff. If you fail to file an Answer, judgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Matthew K. Handley	Clerk of the Court
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney	
Handley Farah & Anderson PLLC	By
Address 200 Massachusetts Avenue NW, 7th Fl.	Deputy Clerk
Washington, DC 20001	
(202) 559-2411	Date
Telephone	
如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828 Veuillez appeler au (202) 87	9-4828 pour une traduction Để có một bài dịch, hãy gọi (202) 879-4828
出資品 別場 川 岡 (202)870_4828 ■ 対象	ያያናት (202) 870_4828 - <i>የ የሙ</i> ሌ

IMPORTANT: IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER WITHIN THE TIME STATED ABOVE, OR IF, AFTER YOU ANSWER, YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT ANY TIME THE COURT NOTIFIES YOU TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY DAMAGES OR OTHER RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT. IF THIS OCCURS, YOUR WAGES MAY BE ATTACHED OR WITHHELD OR PERSONAL PROPERTY OR REAL ESTATE YOU OWN MAY BE TAKEN AND SOLD TO PAY THE JUDGMENT. IF YOU INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS ACTION, DO NOT FAIL TO ANSWER WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME.

If you wish to talk to a lawyer and feel that you cannot afford to pay a fee to a lawyer, promptly contact one of the offices of the Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) or the Neighborhood Legal Services (202-279-5100) for help or come to Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., for more information concerning places where you may ask for such help.

See reverse side for Spanish translation Vea al dorso la traducción al español

CV-3110 [Rev. June 2017] Super. Ct. Civ. R. 4





TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DEL DISTRITO DE COLUMBIA DIVISIÓN CIVIL

Sección de Acciones Civiles 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000, Washington, D.C. 20001 Teléfono: (202) 879-1133 Sitio web: www.dccourts.gov

	Demandante
contra	
	Número de Caso:
	Demandado
	CITATORIO
Al susodicho Demandado:	
citatorio, excluyendo el día mismo de la entrega de agente del Gobierno de los Estados Unidos de No sesenta (60) días, contados después que usted haya enviarle por correo una copia de su Contestación	e veintiún (21) días contados después que usted haya recibido este el citatorio. Si usted está siendo demandado en calidad de oficial o orteamérica o del Gobierno del Distrito de Columbia, tiene usted a recibido este citatorio, para entregar su Contestación. Tiene que al abogado de la parte demandante. El nombre y dirección del demandado no tiene abogado, tiene que enviarle al demandante una peraparece en este Citatorio.
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r. los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda.	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda.	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, por efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda. Nombre del abogado del Demandante	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r. los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, por efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda. Nombre del abogado del Demandante	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga **SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL** Por: Subsecretario
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, por efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda. Nombre del abogado del Demandante	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL

IMPORTANTE: SI USTED INCUMPLE CON PRESENTAR UNA CONTESTACIÓN EN EL PLAZO ANTES MENCIONADO O, SI LUEGO DE CONTESTAR, USTED NO COMPARECE CUANDO LE AVISE EL JUZGADO, PODRÍA DICTARSE UN FALLO EN REBELDÍA CONTRA USTED PARA QUE SE LE COBRE LOS DAÑOS Y PERJUICIOS U OTRO DESAGRAVIO QUE SE BUSQUE EN LA DEMANDA. SI ESTO OCURRE, PODRÍA RETENÉRSELE SUS INGRESOS, O PODRÍA TOMÁRSELE SUS BIENES PERSONALES O BIENES RAÍCES Y SER VENDIDOS PARA PAGAR EL FALLO. SI USTED PRETENDE OPONERSE A ESTA ACCIÓN, NO DEJE DE CONTESTAR LA DEMANDA DENTRO DEL PLAZO EXIGIDO.

Si desea conversar con un abogado y le parece que no puede pagarle a uno, llame pronto a una de nuestras oficinas del Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) o el Neighborhood Legal Services (202-279-5100) para pedir ayuda o venga a la Oficina 5000 del 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., para informarse sobre otros lugares donde puede pedirayuda al respecto.

> Vea al dorso el original en inglés See reverse side for English original

CV-3110 [Rev. June 2017] Super. Ct. Civ. R. 4



Superior Court of the District of Columbia CIVIL DIVISION

Civil Actions Branch

500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 879-1133 Website: www.dccourts.gov

Equal Rights Center	Plaintiff		
VS.			
		Case Number	
Adams-Cathedral, LLC			
	Defendant		

SUMMONS

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve an Answer to the attached Complaint, either personally or through an attorney, within twenty one (21) days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you are being sued as an officer or agency of the United States Government or the District of Columbia Government, you have sixty (60) days after service of this summons to serve your Answer. A copy of the Answer must be mailed to the attorney for the plaintiff who is suing you. The attorney's name and address appear below. If plaintiff has no attorney, a copy of the Answer must be mailed to the plaintiff at the address stated on this Summons.

You are also required to file the original Answer with the Court in Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Mondays through Fridays or between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 noon on Saturdays. You may file the original Answer with the Court either before you serve a copy of the Answer on the plaintiff or within seven (7) days after you have served the plaintiff. If you fail to file an Answer, judgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Matthew K. Handley	Clerk of the Court
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney	-
Handley Farah & Anderson PLLC	By
Address 200 Massachusetts Avenue NW, 7th Fl. Washington, DC 20001	Deputy Clerk
(202) 559-2411	Date
Telephone	
如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828 Veuillez appeler au (202) 879	-4828 pour une traduction Để có một bài dịch, hãy gọi (202) 879-4828
변역을 원하시면, (202) 879-4828로 전화주십세요	ግኘት (202) 879-4828 ይደውሉ

IMPORTANT: IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER WITHIN THE TIME STATED ABOVE, OR IF, AFTER YOU ANSWER, YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT ANY TIME THE COURT NOTIFIES YOU TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY DAMAGES OR OTHER RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT. IF THIS OCCURS, YOUR WAGES MAY BE ATTACHED OR WITHHELD OR PERSONAL PROPERTY OR REAL ESTATE YOU OWN MAY BE TAKEN AND SOLD TO PAY THE JUDGMENT. IF YOU INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS ACTION, DO NOT FAIL TO ANSWER WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME.

If you wish to talk to a lawyer and feel that you cannot afford to pay a fee to a lawyer, promptly contact one of the offices of the Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) or the Neighborhood Legal Services (202-279-5100) for help or come to Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., for more information concerning places where you may ask for such help.

See reverse side for Spanish translation Vea al dorso la traducción al español

CV-3110 [Rev. June 2017] Super. Ct. Civ. R. 4





TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DEL DISTRITO DE COLUMBIA DIVISIÓN CIVIL

Sección de Acciones Civiles 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000, Washington, D.C. 20001 Teléfono: (202) 879-1133 Sitio web: www.dccourts.gov

	Demandante
contra	
	Número de Caso:
	Demandado
	CITATORIO
Al susodicho Demandado:	
citatorio, excluyendo el día mismo de la entrega de agente del Gobierno de los Estados Unidos de No sesenta (60) días, contados después que usted haya enviarle por correo una copia de su Contestación	e veintiún (21) días contados después que usted haya recibido este el citatorio. Si usted está siendo demandado en calidad de oficial o orteamérica o del Gobierno del Distrito de Columbia, tiene usted a recibido este citatorio, para entregar su Contestación. Tiene que al abogado de la parte demandante. El nombre y dirección del demandado no tiene abogado, tiene que enviarle al demandante una peraparece en este Citatorio.
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r. los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda.	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda.	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, por efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda. Nombre del abogado del Demandante	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r. los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, po	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, por efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda. Nombre del abogado del Demandante	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga **SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL** Por: Subsecretario
A usted también se le require presentar la Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.r los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestació demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el pla usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, por efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda. Nombre del abogado del Demandante	Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ón original ante el Juez ya sea antes que usted le entregue al azo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si odría dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted para que se haga SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL

IMPORTANTE: SI USTED INCUMPLE CON PRESENTAR UNA CONTESTACIÓN EN EL PLAZO ANTES MENCIONADO O, SI LUEGO DE CONTESTAR, USTED NO COMPARECE CUANDO LE AVISE EL JUZGADO, PODRÍA DICTARSE UN FALLO EN REBELDÍA CONTRA USTED PARA QUE SE LE COBRE LOS DAÑOS Y PERJUICIOS U OTRO DESAGRAVIO QUE SE BUSQUE EN LA DEMANDA. SI ESTO OCURRE, PODRÍA RETENÉRSELE SUS INGRESOS, O PODRÍA TOMÁRSELE SUS BIENES PERSONALES O BIENES RAÍCES Y SER VENDIDOS PARA PAGAR EL FALLO. SI USTED PRETENDE OPONERSE A ESTA ACCIÓN, NO DEJE DE CONTESTAR LA DEMANDA DENTRO DEL PLAZO EXIGIDO.

Si desea conversar con un abogado y le parece que no puede pagarle a uno, llame pronto a una de nuestras oficinas del Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) o el Neighborhood Legal Services (202-279-5100) para pedir ayuda o venga a la Oficina 5000 del 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., para informarse sobre otros lugares donde puede pedirayuda al respecto.

> Vea al dorso el original en inglés See reverse side for English original

CV-3110 [Rev. June 2017] Super. Ct. Civ. R. 4